



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/804,707  | 03/19/2004  | Michael Maschke      | P04,0086                   | 7519                   |
| 7590 11/10/2010   |             |                      |                            |                        |
| SCHIFF HARDIN LLP<br>Patent Department<br>6600 Sears Tower<br>233 South Wacker Drive<br>Chicago, IL 60606 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>CHIAO, ELMER M |                        |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>3777           | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>11/10/2010    | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |                                      |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/804,707 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MASCHKE, MICHAEL |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>ELMER CHAO        | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3777                 |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ELMER CHAO

(2) Steven H. Noll

**Date of Interview:** 25 October 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

1, 4

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner proposed amending the application to include claim 4. Applicants did not agree to at this time.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Tse Chen/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3777  
 /Elmer Chao/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3777

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:45pm

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)